



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,579 02/16/2001		02/16/2001	Koichi Yamamoto	FUJZ 18.342	4245
26304	7590	07/13/2004		EXAMINER ·	
		N ZAVIS ROSENM	FERRIS, DERRICK W		
	ISON AVENUE RK, NY 10022-2585			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7,2,, 1014	-,			2663	N
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004	, 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/785,579	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Derrick W. Ferris	2663
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. If from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 F	<u>ebruary 2001</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under between the condition of the condition	·	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 February 2001 is/ard Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) \square accepted or b) \square objection of \square objection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No reived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		ail Datenal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/785,579

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,215,765 B1 to McAllister et al. ("McAllister").

As to claim 1, at issue is the term "trap" where examiner assumes a reasonable but broad interpretation of "trap" as a crankback IE known in the art. In particular, see e.g., column 1, lines 19-30. The node that receives the call setup request is considered the agent that detects a line fault (i.e., failed link at column 1, line 20). As such the node sends a crankback IE message (i.e., a trap). It is important to note that applicant does not recite that the trap signal is part of the network management protocol. However, examiner notes that assuming the above feature is further recited in the claim that a crankback IE message may be considered as a network management protocol. Examiner, however, would withdraw the rejection if applicant further amended the claims to recite an <u>SNMP</u> trap which is clearly not an IE crankback message.

As to claim 2, using the same reasoning above for claim 1, another node (e.g., the sending node) receives the crankback IE message (i.e., a trap message). The another node then reroutes the call, see column 1, lines 29-30. Note that a line manager

Application/Control Number: 09/785,579 Page 3

Art Unit: 2663

specifying the line on which the call has occurred based on the information presented in the crankback IE message. Stated another way, the another node does not attempt to reroute the connection over the same failed link.

As to claims 3 and 4, PNNI is taught e.g., at column 1, line 21 where a crankback message may be considered as part of PNNI.

As to claim 5, the connection is an SVC which is considered a path.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Derrick W. Ferris whose telephone number is (703) 305-4225. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9 A.M. - 4:30 P.M. E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Derrick W. Ferris Examiner

Art Unit 2663

CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 1/8/0 9